

1. Discussion of Role of Chancellor

Chairman Eardley asked each Regent to list qualities for the role of a Chancellor. Mrs. Price stated she felt it was necessar

"CEO" in that it denotes a business model and does not reflect the way the System operates. Mr. Klaich stated the Chancellor has to be an extension of the Board, but also must lead the Presidents by persuasion, stature and respect. Mrs. Sparks added that this position must not be an "office manager type", but a CEO to the System staff, an executive leader, a community liaison, and have a strong academic background in order to move in the educational environment. Mr. Klaich added tha

Mr. Foley cautioned that no one should expect the educational system to be the same once the State


Interim Chancellor Richardson asked to clea

Mr. Burris agreed, adding that this System is unique in
that all public higher education in the State is governed

by one Board. He suggested that the Chancellor should be

knowledgeable in both Community Colleges and Universities


in order not to lose



Mrs. Gallagher stated she felt a change was needed, that the new Chancellor should not bring "System baggage", but that the person should be an educational visionary. She reminded the Board that communication is a two-way experience, and if members did not feel they had enough information, they should be calling the Chancellor or staff. She added that the Chancellor should have an academic background and be a "people person", and be able to listen, look at the facts, make a decision and move on to the next item. She cautioned that the Board must support the Chancellor in his/her decisions.

Chairman Eardley asked that Interim Chancellor Richardson and Secretary Moser prepare a list of qualifications based upon this discussion and submit it for Board consideration by the September meeting. After that is finalized by the Boam

Regents are elected by area, once elected, they are State-wide Regents. Dr. Derby also explainá



2. Upheld the Findings and Sanctions Imposed on Employee Appeal

Under Section 6.14.2 of the UCCSN Code, Robert Norris, a University of Nevada, Reno employee, had appealed a decision of President Joseph Crowley to terminate Mr. Norris' employment for cause after a hearing held in accordance with the procedures of Chapter 6 of the UCCSN Code. The Board was advised that upon consideration of the appeal, they could: (1) dismiss the charges made against Mr.

Norris (2) ' i

Robert Norris, Mr. Paul Schofield and Secretary Moser.

Mrs. Gallagher moved that the Board of Regents affirm the findings of the Hearing Officer and the sanctions that have been imposed on Mr. Robert Norris. Dr. Derby seconded.

Motion carried. Mrs. Price voted no.

The meeting adjourned at 5:07 P.M.

Mary Lou Moser

Secretary of the Board

07-15-1993